UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,618	12/31/2003	Kenichi Kazama	KON-1712A (Div)	8941
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 03/04/200 RCANTI. LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AV	*	HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10016	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,618	KAZAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		Worlica A. Huson	1791			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>12 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.			
a f	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coeriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request		
а)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) [2	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solution in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). SE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
	 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of		
١	iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w DMENTS			e appeal. Since a		
3. 🗌	Th <u>e_</u> proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause		
	a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	·	TE below);			
•	 b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. 🛛	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	USC 103 for claims 22-25.		,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment on non-allowable claim(s). 						
† 1 ((For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 22-25. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17-21.		II be entered and an e	xplanation of		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. 🔲 1 b v	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good andwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and		
e	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:		
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
		/Monios A Husen/				
		/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1791			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claims 17-21, applicant contends that Michihata does not suggest the claimed peel tension range, and applicant also contends that the declaration filed 24 September 2007 shows unexpected results. This is not presuasive because it is believed that Michihata discloses a broad range of peel tensions, and through general experimentation, one of ordinary skill in the art would arrive at the claimed range. With respect to the declaration, applicant has explained in much detail the results of the experiment, but applicant has not responded to the examiner's position that the data shows clear trends and relationships between the variables and, therefore, expected values and results, which are not grounds for patentable subject matter. The examiner maintains that there is nothing new or unexpected about the trends that were exhibited in the declaration, and that Michihata would therefore suggest the subject matter in claims 17-21.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-25 are persuasive, and therefore the rejection has been withdrawn.